

## Error Component Model

Model setup as before:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

Error term has a special structure:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it} = u_i + v_t + w_{it}$$

$$u_i \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2) \quad , \quad v_t \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2) \quad , \quad w_{it} \sim N(0, \sigma_w^2)$$

all components are uncorrelated, hence

$$\text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}) = \sigma^2 = \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2 + \sigma_w^2$$

Note:

$$E(u_i v_t) = E(u_i w_{it}) = E(v_t w_{it}) = 0$$

$$E(u_i u_j) = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

$$E(v_t v_s) = 0, \quad t \neq s$$

$$E(w_{it} w_{is}) = E(w_{it} w_{jt}) = E(w_{it} w_{js}) = 0 \quad i \neq j, \quad t \neq s$$

and therefore the errors are homoskedastic.

$$\text{corr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{jt}) = \frac{\text{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{jt})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}) \text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{jt})}} = \frac{\sigma_v^2}{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2 + \sigma_w^2} \quad i \neq j$$

$$\text{corr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{is}) = \frac{\text{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{is})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}) \text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{is})}} = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2 + \sigma_w^2} \quad t \neq s$$

$$\text{corr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{js}) = \frac{\text{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{js})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}) \text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{js})}} = 0 \quad i \neq j, \quad t \neq s$$

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_u^2[\mathbf{A}_T] & \sigma_v^2[\mathbf{I}_T] & \dots & \sigma_v^2[\mathbf{I}_T] \\ \sigma_v^2[\mathbf{I}_T] & \sigma_u^2[\mathbf{A}_T] & \dots & \sigma_v^2[\mathbf{I}_T] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sigma_v^2[\mathbf{I}_T] & \sigma_v^2[\mathbf{I}_T] & \dots & \sigma_u^2[\mathbf{A}_T] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_T = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_u^2} & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_u^2} & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_u^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

T x T

dimensions: NT x NT

More often the error term has a simplified structure:

$$\varepsilon_{it} = u_i + w_{it}$$

$$u_i \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2) \quad , \quad w_{it} \sim N(0, \sigma_w^2)$$

all components are uncorrelated, hence

$$\text{Var}(\varepsilon_{it}) = \sigma^2 = \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_w^2$$

Note:

$$E(u_i w_{it}) = 0$$

$$E(u_i u_j) = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

$$E(w_{it} w_{is}) = E(w_{it} w_{jt}) = E(w_{it} w_{js}) = 0 \quad i \neq j, \quad t \neq s$$

and therefore the errors are homoskedastic.

$$\text{corr}(\varepsilon_{it}, \varepsilon_{jt}) = \frac{\text{Cov}(\varepsilon_{it}, \varepsilon_{jt})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\varepsilon_{it}) \text{Var}(\varepsilon_{jt})}} = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_w^2} \quad i \neq j$$

$$\text{corr}(\varepsilon_{it}, \varepsilon_{is}) = \frac{\text{Cov}(\varepsilon_{it}, \varepsilon_{is})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\varepsilon_{it}) \text{Var}(\varepsilon_{is})}} = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_w^2} \quad t \neq s$$

$$\text{corr}(\varepsilon_{it}, \varepsilon_{js}) = \frac{\text{Cov}(\varepsilon_{it}, \varepsilon_{js})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\varepsilon_{it}) \text{Var}(\varepsilon_{js})}} = 0 \quad i \neq j, \quad t \neq s$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_u^2[A_T] & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \sigma_u^2[A_T] & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \sigma_u^2[A_T] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_T = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_u^2} & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_u^2} & \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_u^2} & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_u^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$T \times T$

dimensions: NT x NT

Transformation matrix (Cholesky decomposition) is then

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} [P_T] & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & [P_T] & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & [P_T] \end{bmatrix}$$

Where

$$P_T = I_T - \frac{\theta}{T} \mathbf{i}_T \mathbf{i}_T^T$$

$$\theta = 1 - \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{T\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_w^2}}, \quad \mathbf{i}_T^T = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1]$$

However, more often the representation is

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Omega} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{\Omega} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{\Omega} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_u^2 & \sigma_u^2 & \dots & \sigma_u^2 \\ \sigma_u^2 & \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_u^2 & \dots & \sigma_u^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sigma_u^2 & \sigma_u^2 & \dots & \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_u^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

dimension  $NT \times NT$

$T \times T$

Note, that

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = \sigma_w^2 \mathbf{I}_T + \sigma_w^2 \mathbf{i}_T \mathbf{i}_T^T, \quad \mathbf{i}_T^T = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1]$$

For GLS the decomposition is  $V^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{I}_T \otimes \mathbf{\Omega}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

$$\mathbf{\Omega}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{I}_T - \frac{\theta}{T} \mathbf{i}_T \mathbf{i}_T^T \quad \text{and}$$

$$\theta = 1 - \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{T\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_w^2}} \quad \text{as before.}$$

The transformation is therefore:

$$\mathbf{\Omega}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{y}_i = \begin{bmatrix} y_{i1} - \theta \bar{y}_i \\ y_{i2} - \theta \bar{y}_i \\ y_{i3} - \theta \bar{y}_i \\ \dots \\ y_{iT} - \theta \bar{y}_i \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{\Omega}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x}_{i,k} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{i1,k} - \theta \bar{X}_{i,k} \\ X_{i2,k} - \theta \bar{X}_{i,k} \\ X_{i3,k} - \theta \bar{X}_{i,k} \\ \dots \\ X_{iT,k} - \theta \bar{X}_{i,k} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $k$  denotes the  $k$ -th regressor in of all  $\mathbf{X}$ 's, and bar denotes the group mean

$$\bar{y}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} \quad \bar{X}_{i,k} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T X_{it,k}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_{it} - \theta \bar{Y}_i) &= \beta_1(1-\theta) + \beta_2 (X_{it,2} - \theta \bar{X}_{i,2}) + \\ &+ \beta_3 (X_{it,3} - \theta \bar{X}_{i,3}) + \dots + \beta_K (X_{it,K} - \theta \bar{X}_{i,K}) + w_{it}^* \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that the GLS estimator is a matrix-weighted average of BETWEEN unit estimator and WITHIN unit estimator.

Illustration for one variable regression:

$$\bar{Y}_i = \beta_B \bar{X}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_i \quad \text{BETWEEN}$$

$$(Y_{it} - \bar{Y}_i) = \beta_W (X_{it} - \bar{X}_i) + (w_{it} - \bar{w}_i) \quad \text{WITHIN}$$

## Panel Data Models

Start with generic model where the  $x$  does not contain a constant:

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta^T x_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

$\alpha_i$  can be            Constant and the same for all  $i$  . . . . . POOLED OLS  
                              Constant but different across  $i$  . . . . . FIXED EFFECT  
                              Random draw for each  $i$  . . . . . RANDOM EFFECT

### Pooled OLS

All  $\alpha_i$  are the same and  $\alpha_i = \alpha$

you already know how to deal with, apply SUR methods

### Fixed effects

Each individual has a specific intercept  $\alpha_i$ ,

LSDV - Least Square Dummy Variable method - use constant term dummy for each group.

We have  $K$  regression coefficients and  $N$  dummies to estimate

$$y_i = i\alpha_i + X_i\beta + \varepsilon_i$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} i & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & i & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_N \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_N \end{bmatrix} \beta + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Testing fixed effects vs. pooled OLS

Classical F-test (or any other test for zero-restriction):

Hypothesis:  $\alpha_i = \alpha$  for all  $i$

$$F_{(N-1, NT-N-K)} = \frac{\frac{R_u^2 - R_r^2}{(N-1)}}{\frac{1 - R_u^2}{(NT-N-K)}}$$

## WITHIN and BETWEEN group estimators

Original formulation (TOTAL):

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Deviations from the group mean (WITHIN)

$$y_{it} - \bar{y}_i = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) + \varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i$$

In terms of the group means (BETWEEN)

$$\bar{y}_i = \alpha + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_i$$

All three models could be estimated by OLS, lets focus on  $\beta$ .

$$S_{xx}^t = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T$$

$$S_{xy}^t = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (y_{it} - \bar{y})$$

$$S_{xx}^w = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i)^T$$

$$S_{xy}^w = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) (y_{it} - \bar{y}_i)$$

$$S_{xx}^b = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T = \sum_{i=1}^N T (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T$$

$$S_{xy}^b = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^N T (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})$$

Obviously

$$S_{xy}^t = S_{xy}^w + S_{xy}^b \quad S_{xx}^t = S_{xx}^w + S_{xx}^b$$

The estimators are:

$$b^t = [S_{xx}^t]^{-1} S_{xy}^t = [S_{xx}^w + S_{xx}^b]^{-1} [S_{xy}^w + S_{xy}^b]$$

$$b^w = [S_{xx}^w]^{-1} S_{xy}^w \quad b^b = [S_{xx}^b]^{-1} S_{xy}^b$$

From this we can derive that

$$S_{xx}^w b^w = S_{xy}^w \quad S_{xx}^b b^b = S_{xy}^b$$

Inserting these into  $b^t$ :

$$b^t = F^w b^w + F^b b^b$$

$$F^w = [S_{xx}^w + S_{xx}^b]^{-1} S_{xx}^w = I - F^b$$

The total estimator is a weighted average of BETWEEN and WITHIN

## RANDOM effect model

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}_{it} + u_i + w_{it}$$

Variance-covariance matrix is

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Omega} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\Omega} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \boldsymbol{\Omega} \end{bmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_u^2 & \sigma_u^2 & \dots & \sigma_u^2 \\ \sigma_u^2 & \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_u^2 & \dots & \sigma_u^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sigma_u^2 & \sigma_u^2 & \dots & \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_u^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

dimension NT x NT

T x T

Note, that

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \sigma_w^2 \mathbf{I}_T + \sigma_w^2 \mathbf{i}_T \mathbf{i}_T^T, \quad \mathbf{i}_T^T = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1]$$

For GLS the decomposition is  $V^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{I}_T \otimes \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{I}_T - \frac{\theta}{T} \mathbf{i}_T \mathbf{i}_T^T \quad \text{and}$$

$$\theta = 1 - \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{T\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_w^2}} \quad \text{as before.}$$

The Choleski decomposition gives

$$\mathbf{\Omega}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{y}_i = \begin{bmatrix} y_{i1} - \theta \bar{y}_i \\ y_{i2} - \theta \bar{y}_i \\ y_{i3} - \theta \bar{y}_i \\ \dots \\ y_{iT} - \theta \bar{y}_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Note, that  $\theta=1$  leads to LSDV (like if  $\sigma_w=0$  and only  $u_i$  are present and there would be no difference between LSDV and RE)

Now we can get the GLS estimator as before

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{RE} = \hat{\mathbf{F}}^w \mathbf{b}^w + \hat{\mathbf{F}}^b \mathbf{b}^b$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{RE} = \hat{\mathbf{F}}^w \mathbf{b}^w + (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{F}}^w) \mathbf{b}^b$$

$$\mathbf{F}^w = \left[ \mathbf{S}_{xx}^w + \lambda \mathbf{S}_{xx}^b \right]^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{xx}^w = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{F}^b$$

$$\lambda = (1 - \theta)^2 = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{T\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_w^2}$$

The RE estimator is again weighted average of BETWEEN and WITHIN

$\lambda = 1 \Rightarrow \theta = 0$  is OLS since  $\sigma_u=0$  and hence  $u_i$  are not present

$\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \theta = 1$  is LSDV since  $\sigma_w=0$  and hence only  $u_i$  are present

for  $T \rightarrow \infty$   $u_i$  become observable

## Feasible GLS

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \beta^T x_{it} + u_i + w_{it}$$

$$y_{it} - \bar{y}_i = \beta^T (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i) + w_{it} - \bar{w}_i$$

since

$$E \left( \sum_{t=1}^T (w_{it} - \bar{w}_i)^2 \right) = (T-1) \sigma_w^2$$

$$\sigma_{wi}^2 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T (w_{it} - \bar{w}_i)^2}{T - 1}$$

We do not know  $\beta$ , hence using sample errors:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{wi}^2 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T (w_{it} - \bar{w}_i)^2}{T - K - 1}$$

We have N such estimators, for each group one and we can average them:

$$s_w^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\sigma}_{wi}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T (w_{it} - \bar{w}_i)^2}{T - K - 1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T (w_{it} - \bar{w}_i)^2}{NT - NK - N}$$

This assumes different coefficient for each group, having N means of y and K parameters

$$\hat{\sigma}_w^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T (w_{it} - \bar{w}_i)^2}{NT - N - K}$$

Since we know that

$$\text{Var}(\varepsilon_{it}) = \sigma^2 = \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_w^2$$

$$\text{we can estimate } \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}}{NT} = \hat{\sigma}_u^2 + \hat{\sigma}_w^2$$

Testing FE vs. RE

Hypothesis:  $\sigma_u^2=0$

$$LM = \frac{NT}{2(T-1)} \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T w_{it} \right]^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T w_{it}^2} - 1 \right]^2 = \frac{NT}{2(T-1)} \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (T\bar{w}_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T w_{it}^2} - 1 \right]^2$$

$$LM = \frac{NT}{2(T-1)} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}^T \mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}} - 1 \right]^2 \sim \chi_1^2$$

Hausman test:

$$W = [\mathbf{b} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}]^T [\text{Var}[\mathbf{b}] - \text{Var}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}]]^{-1} [\mathbf{b} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] \sim \chi_K^2$$

where  $\mathbf{b}$  are FE,  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  are RE coefficients and  $\text{Var}()$  appropriate v-c matrix

## Dynamic Models

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}_{it} + \delta y_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

under all standard previous assumptions the  $\varepsilon$  are correlated with the fixed effect or random effect since the lagged  $y$  is correlated with  $\varepsilon$  by definition.

More transparent it is in the RE model, where  $\mathbf{u}$  (which is part of  $\alpha$ ) is correlated with lagged  $y$ .

Take first differences

$$y_{it} - y_{i,t-1} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \mathbf{x}_{i,t-1}) + \delta (y_{i,t-1} - y_{i,t-2}) + (\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{i,t-1})$$

$\mathbf{u}$  (which is part of  $\alpha$  in RE) vanished, there is still correlation between lagged  $y$  and  $\varepsilon$  (and there is MA1 process present) but all more lagged  $y$  and their differences are valid instruments.

Conclusion:

Use IV or GMM technique.

## Autocorrelation

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}_{it} + u_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

$$\text{and } \varepsilon_{it} = \rho \varepsilon_{i,t-1} + v_{it}$$

$$y_{it} - \rho y_{i,t-1} = \alpha(1 - \rho) + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \rho \mathbf{x}_{i,t-1}) + (\varepsilon_{it} - \rho \varepsilon_{i,t-1}) + u_i(1 - \rho)$$

$$y_{it} - \rho y_{i,t-1} = \alpha(1 - \rho) + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \rho \mathbf{x}_{i,t-1}) + v_{it} + u_i(1 - \rho)$$

Having  $\rho$  we can use T-1 observations for estimation of  $\beta$  and adjust variances by  $(1 - \rho)^2$ .

So estimate  $\rho$  and then use P-W or C-O transformation.