Problem 1: The product market can be characterized by functions:

inverse demand function: $P = 55 - 0.1Q_D$ supply function: $Q_S = 100P$

and the representative firm has a production function: $q = 70L - L^2$ where L is number of units of labor hired at perfectly competitive labor market with equilibrium wage w=100CZK.

- (a) calculate the product market equilibrium price and quantity (P^*, Q^*)
- (b) calculate the optimal number of workers hired by one firm
- (c) how many units of q will firm produce in optimum?
- (d) what would be the profit/loss of firm in this (ad b) short run optimum?

Solution:

(a) In the equilibrium quantity supplied equals quantity demanded: $Q_S = Q_D$

$$P = 55 - 0.1Q$$
 and $Q = 100P$
 $P = 55 - 0.1(100P) \Rightarrow 11P = 55 \Rightarrow P^* = 5$ and $Q^* = 500$

(b) In optimum **the value of** marginal product equals wage. (Not marginal product equals wage but its value equals wage; marginal product is in units of production wage is in units of money - we can not compare these two, we have to compare value of marginal product with wage.)

$$VMP_L = w$$

 $P * MP_L = w$
 $P[70L - L^2]' = P(70 - 2L) = 100 \implies L^* = 35 - \frac{50}{P} = 35 - \frac{50}{5} = 25$

(c) In this optimum, the firm produces:

$$q = 70L^* - (L^*)^2 = 70 * 25 - 25^2 = 1125$$

(d) If price equals 5 and the labor used is 25 then the profit of the firm is:

$$\pi = TR - TC = P * q - w * L = 5 * 1125 - 100 * 25 = 3125$$

Note: Notice that the individual output (q = 1125) is larger than the industry output (Q = 500). This result is caused by the particular numbers in this exercise and is hard to interpret. Usually we should get the opposite result - that the individual output is smaller and hence we need more firms to satisfy the market demand.

Problem 2: Intertemporal choice. Ben earns \$110 this year and \$110 the next year. The interest rate in a bank is 10%. Ben's preferences over current and future consumption are represented by the following utility function:

$$U(c,f) = \sqrt{cf}$$

Will Ben save part of his income during the first year or will he take a loan?

Solution: Ben maximizes his utility with respect to his budget constraint. If he spends all money today - on current consumption - (including his future income) he can spend:

$$110 + \frac{110}{1+0.1} = 210$$

If he spends all money next year - on future consumption - (including his current income) he can spend:

$$110 + 110(1 + 0.1) = 231$$

In optimum - the combination of current and future spending has to lie on the budget line and on the highest possible indifference curve. The budget line is given by f = 231 - 1.1c, because the vertical intercept is 231 and the slope is given by 231/210 = 1.1 (the slope of intertemporal budget line is always equal to (1 + r)). In optimum, the indifference curve touches the budget line, that means that the slope of indifference curve (MRS) has to be equal to slope of budget line (1.1):

Budget constraint :
$$1.1c + f = 231$$

 $MRS = \frac{MU_c}{MU_f} = \frac{\partial U/\partial c}{\partial U/\partial f} = \frac{\frac{f}{2\sqrt{cf}}}{\frac{c}{2\sqrt{cf}}} = \frac{f}{c} = 1.1 \Rightarrow f = 1.1c$
 $1.1c + 1.1c = 231 \Rightarrow c = \frac{231}{2.2} = 105 \text{ and } f = 1.1c = 115.5$

Ben's current spending is less than his current income and his future spending is more than future income. This means that Ben is saving. Ben saves 5 in current year and hence he can spend 5(1 + r) more the next year, i.e he can spend 110+5(1+0.1)=115.5.

Ben's budget and the optimal choice is depicted on the picture below.



Problem 3: Market equilibrium - two markets. Demand functions for two goods are given by:

$$P_1 = 200 - Q_1 + 0.3P_2$$
$$P_2 = 100 - 2Q_2 + 0.5P_1$$

Suppose that the supply is fixed at the level of $Q_1 = 50$ and $Q_2 = 10$. Are these two goods substitutes or complements? What will be the equilibrium prices? Now suppose that Q_1 increases to 71. What will be new equilibrium prices?

Solution: Substitutes because if price of one good goes up the demanded quantity of the other good goes up as well.

$$P_1 = 200 - 50 + 0.3P_2 \implies P_1 = 150 + 0.3P_2$$

$$P_2 = 100 - 2 * 10 + 0.5P_1 \implies P_2 = 80 + 0.5P_1$$

$$P_1 = 150 + 0.3(80 + 0.5P_1) = 174 + 0.15P_1 \implies P_1 = 204; P_2 = 182$$

Now, Q_1 increases to 71.

$$\begin{split} P_1 &= 200 - 71 + 0.3P_2 \implies P_1 = 129 + 0.3P_2 \\ P_2 &= 100 - 2 * 10 + 0.5P_1 \implies P_2 = 80 + 0.5P_1 \\ P_1 &= 129 + 0.3(80 + 0.5P_1) = 153 + 0.15P_1 \implies P_1 = 180; P_2 = 170 \end{split}$$

Increase in supplied quantity of one of the substitutes results in the decrease of price of both goods.

Problem 4: Consider the following story from the Second World War. There are two prisoners of war in a German camp: British (consumer A) and French (consumer B). Both of them have a right to get some weekly amount of tea (good 1) and coffee (good 2). British prisoner has the endowment $\omega_A = (1, 4)$ and French prisoner, being privileged, has the endowment $\omega_B = (5, 4)$. The two prisoners are totally separated and the direct exchange is not possible, but they succeeded to persuade a German prisoners' priest to transfer coffee and tee between them. The prisoners' preferences are given by the following utility functions:

 $u^{A}(x_{1}^{A}, x_{2}^{A}) = 2 \ln x_{1}^{A} + x_{2}^{A}$ $u^{B}(x_{1}^{B}, x_{2}^{B}) = 4 \ln x_{1}^{B} + x_{2}^{B}$

where x_1^i is the amount of good 1 consumer *i* consumes and x_2^i the amount of good 2. Suppose that the price of good 1 is p_1 and the price of good 2 is p_2 .

- (a) Sketch the corresponding Edgeworth box. In the Edgeworth box draw several indifference curves of both agents and mark their initial endowment. Find Pareto efficient (Pareto optimal) allocations.
- (b) Find the market demand functions x_1^A, x_1^B .
- (c) Find the competitive equilibrium (prices and allocations) for this prisoners' economy.

Solution:

1.



Edgeworth box with indifference curves and endowment



2. To find consumers' demand functions we solve their optimization problems:

Consumer A:
$$\max_{\{x_1^A, x_2^A\}} 2 \ln x_1^A + x_2^A$$
$$s.t. \ p_1 x_1^A + p_2 x_2^A = p_1 + 4p_2$$
Consumer B:
$$\max_{\{x_1^B, x_2^B\}} 4 \ln x_1^B + x_2^B$$
$$s.t. \ p_1 x_1^B + p_2 x_2^B = 5p_1 + 4p_2$$

We choose good 1 to be a numeraire, therefore $p_1 = 1$ and for simplicity we denote $p_2 = p$. Furthermore, we use equalities in budget constraints.

Consumer A:
$$\max_{\substack{\{x_1^A, x_2^A\}}} 2 \ln x_1^A + x_2^A$$
$$s.t. \ x_1^A + px_2^A = 1 + 4p$$
Consumer B:
$$\max_{\substack{\{x_1^B, x_2^B\}}} 4 \ln x_1^B + x_2^B$$
$$s.t. \ x_1^B + px_2^B = 5 + 4p$$

Now we plug budget constraints into the objective functions and take the first order conditions:

Consumer A:
$$\max_{x_2^A} 2\ln(1+4p-px_2^A) + x_2^A$$
$$FOC: \frac{2(-p)}{1+4p-px_2^A} + 1 = 0 \Rightarrow x_2^A = \frac{2p+1}{p}$$
Consumer B:
$$\max_{x_2^B} 4\ln(5+4p-px_2^B) + x_2^B$$
$$FOC: \frac{4(-p)}{5+4p-px_2^B} + 1 = 0 \Rightarrow x_2^B = \frac{5}{p}$$

Therefore the demand functions are $x_2^A = \frac{2p+1}{p}$ and $x_2^B = \frac{5}{p}$. And from budget constraints we get the demands $x_1^A = 2p$ and $x_1^B = 4p$.

3. Competitive equilibrium consists of equilibrium prices (only price p_2 needs to be determined since we set the price p_1 equal to 1) and allocations $\{x_1^A, x_2^A\}, \{x_1^B, x_2^B\}$. In equilibrium both markets (market for good 1 and market for good 2) clear:

$$x_1^A + x_1^B = \omega_1^A + \omega_1^B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 2p + 4p = 6 \quad \Rightarrow \quad p = 1$$

Here, we check if market for good 2 clears for price p=1 as well:

$$\frac{2p+1}{p} + \frac{5}{p} = 8 \quad \Rightarrow \quad p = 1$$

Hence, the competitive equilibrium is:

$$\{x_1^A, x_2^A\} = (2, 3); \ \{x_1^B, x_2^B\} = (4, 5); \ p_1 = 1; \ p_2 = 1.$$

Problem 5: This problem was not covered during the seminar. It is similar to the previous problem but this time the indifference curves do not have standard shape as before. Consider a following two-consumers (A,B) and two-goods (x,y) economy. The utility function of the first consumer is

$$u^A = 2x^A + y^A$$

and his endowment is $\omega^A = (4, 0)$. The second consumer has the following utility function

$$u^B = \min\{x^B, 2y^B\}$$

and endowment $\omega^B = (0,3)$.

- 1. Find the competitive equilibrium and graph it in the Edgeworth box.
- 2. Find Pareto efficient allocations and graph them in the Edgeworth box.

Solution:

1. Competitive equilibrium consists of equilibrium prices p_x, p_y and allocations $\{x^A, y^A\}$, $\{x^B, y^B\}$. Consumers solve following optimization problems:

Consumer A:
$$\max_{\{x^A, y^A\}} 2x^A + y^A$$
$$s.t. \ x^A + py^A \le 4$$
Consumer B:
$$\max_{\{x^B, y^B\}} \min\{x^B, 2y^B\}$$
$$s.t. \ x^B + py^B \le 3p$$

where we choose good x to be numeraire and denote $p_y = p$.

In Consumer A'a optimization problem we replace inequality constraint with equality and plug it into the function to be maximized:

Consumer A: $\max_{y^A} 2(4 - py^A) + y^A$ $FOC: -2p + 1 = 0 \Rightarrow p = \frac{1}{2}$

In Consumer B's optimization problem it must hold that $x^B = 2y^B$.

Consumer B:
$$\max_{\{x^B, y^B\}} \min \{x^B, 2y^B\}$$
$$s.t. \ 2y^B + py^B = 3p$$
$$since \ p = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ we get: } y^B = \frac{3}{5}$$
and from budget constraint:
$$x^B = \frac{6}{5}$$

Finally, we determine x^A and y^A from market clearing conditions:

$$x^{A} + x^{B} = 4 \Rightarrow x^{A} = 4 - \frac{6}{5} = \frac{14}{5}$$
$$y^{A} + y^{B} = 3 \Rightarrow y^{A} = 3 - \frac{3}{5} = \frac{12}{5}$$

Hence, the competitive equilibrium is: $\{p_x, p_y\} = \{1, 1/2\}; \{x^A, y^A\} = \{14/5, 12/5\}; \{x^B, y^B\} = \{6/5, 3/5\}.$



The set of Pareto efficient allocations is given by:

$$x^A$$
 and $y^A = 1 + \frac{x^A}{2}$
 $x^B = 4 - x^A$ and $y^B = 3 - y^A$