Michal Kejak Macro III Spring 2007

Homework 6

Due July 11, 2007

- 1. Intermediate Inputs as Durables. Suppose that the intermediate inputs, X_{ij} , are infinite-lived durable goods rather than non-durables as we had in class. New units of these durables can be formed from one unit of final output. The inventor of the *j*th type of intermediate good charges the rental price R_j , and the competitive producers of final goods treat R_j as given.
 - (a) How is R_j determined?
 - (b) In the steady state, what is the quantity, X_j , of each type of intermediate good?
 - (c) What is the steady-state growth rate of the economy? How does this answer differ from the case we had in class in which the intermediate inputs were perishable goods?
 - (d) If the intermediate goods are durables, then what kinds of dynamic effects arise in the transition to the steady state?
- 2. An Endogenous Innovation Model a la Jones (1998). Consider the Jones model from Jones (1998) Introduction to Economic Growth, Ch.5. Accordingly to that there are there sectors in the model economy:
- production function in the competitive final goods sector: $Y_i = L_{Y,i}^{1-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{A} X_{ij}$ with $L_{Y,i}$ being labor input and X_{ij} being the durable intermediate good j input;
- production function in the intermediate good j sector: the service from one unit of final (raw) capital good with rental price r is transformed into one unit of the intermediate capital good j which is rented to the final good sector at rental price R_j ;

- production function in the R&D sector for the change in the stock of new ideas A: $\dot{A} = \bar{\delta}L_R$ where L_R is the labor used in the R&D sector and $\bar{\delta} = \delta L_R^{\lambda-1} A^{\phi}$ with given constants $\delta, \lambda < 1$ and $0 \le \phi < 1$.
- (a) An increase in the productivity of research. Let's assume that λ = 1 and φ = 0, then suppose there is an unexpected permanent one-time increase in the productivity of research, represented by an increase in δ. What happens to the growth rate and the level of technology over time?
 - (b) Too much of a good thing? Show that the level of knowledge along a balanced growth path is given by $A^*(t) = \frac{\delta L_R(t)}{\gamma_A^*}$, where γ_A^* is the balanced path growth rate of technology explain. Using this fact derive the expression for the level of output per capita along a balanced growth path $Y^*(t)$. Find the value of $s_R \equiv \frac{L_R}{L}$ that maximizes output per capita along a BGP for this case. Why is it possible to do too much R&D in the decentralized economy according to this criterion?
 - (c) The future of economic growth. According to Jones (1997) ["The Upcoming Slowdown in U.S. Economic Growth." NBER Working Paper No. 6284, National Bureau for Economic Research] (see also Ch.4 in Jones (1998)) the number of scientists and engineers engaged in R&D has been growing faster than the population growth in the advanced countries of the world. To take some plausible numbers, assume population growth is 1 percent and the growth rate of reseachers is 3 percent per year. Assume that \dot{A}/A has been constant at about 2 percent per year. (Why?)
 - i. Using the fact that $\lambda \left(\frac{L_R(t)}{L_R(t)} \right) (1-\phi) \left(\frac{\dot{A}(t)}{A(t)} \right) = 0$ as far as $\left(\frac{\dot{A}}{A} \right)$ and $\left(\frac{L_R^{\lambda}}{A^{1-\phi}} \right)$ are constant (prove it), calculate an estimate of $\lambda/(1-\phi)$.
 - ii. Using this estimate and equation for γ_A^* , calculate an estimate of the longrun steady-state growth rate of the world economy.
 - iii. Why are these numbers different? What do they mean?
 - iv. Does the fact that many developing countries are starting to engage in R&D change this calculation?