

ROHER'S MODEL WITH MODIFIED PROD. FN. IN R&D
 (FINAL EXAM 2006)

a) FINAL GOOD SECTOR

$$\max \bar{y}_i - w L_i - \sum_{j=1}^A p_j x_{ij} \quad ; \quad \bar{y}_i = L_{y,i} \sum_{j=1}^A x_{ij}^{1-\beta}$$

$$FOC: \frac{\partial \bar{y}_i}{\partial L_i} = w \Rightarrow \beta \frac{\bar{y}_i}{L_{y,i}} = w$$

$$\boxed{L_{y,i} = \frac{\beta}{w} \bar{y}_i}$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{y}_i}{\partial x_{ij}} = p_j \Rightarrow L_{y,i}^{\beta} (1-\beta) x_{ij}^{-\beta} = p_j$$

$$x_{ij}^{\beta} = \frac{1-\beta}{p_j} L_{y,i}^{\beta}$$

$$x_{ij} = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{p_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} L_{y,i}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} t_{ji,i}$$

$$\boxed{x_{ij} = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{p_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \frac{p_j}{w} \bar{y}_i t_{ji,i}}$$

b) INTERMEDIATE GOOD SECTOR

$$\max \bar{p}_j(x_j) x_j - x_j$$

$$FOC: \frac{\partial \bar{p}_j}{\partial x_j} x_j + \bar{p}_j - 1 = 0 \quad \rightarrow \text{we need to express } \bar{p}_j(x_j) \text{ from the demand function from F-good sector}$$

$$x_j = \sum_{i=1}^A x_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^A \left(\frac{1-\beta}{p_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} L_{y,i} = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{p_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^A L_{y,i} = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{p_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} L_y$$

$$\Rightarrow x_j = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{p_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} L_y \quad \Rightarrow \bar{p}_j = (1-\beta) L_y^{\beta} x_j^{-\beta}$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{p}_j}{\partial x_j} = -\beta(1-\beta) L_y^{\beta} x_j^{-\beta-1}$$

↪ plug this into FOC

$$\underbrace{(1-\beta) L_y^{\beta} (-\beta) x_j^{-\beta-1} x_j}_{\frac{\partial P_j}{\partial x_j}} + \underbrace{(1-\beta) L_y^{\beta} x_j^{-\beta}}_{P_j} = 1$$

$$(1-\beta) L_y^{\beta} (-\beta) x_j^{-\beta} + x_j^{-\beta} (1-\beta) L_y^{\beta} = 1$$

$$x_j^{-\beta} \left[(1-\beta) L_y^{\beta} - \beta (1-\beta) L_y^{\beta} \right] = 1 \Rightarrow x_j^{\beta} = (1-\beta)^2 L_y^{\beta}$$

$$x_j = (1-\beta)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} L_y$$

plug into P_j

$$P_j = (1-\beta) L_y^{\beta} x_j^{-\beta} = (1-\beta) \frac{L_y^{\beta}}{(1-\beta)^2 L_y^{\beta}} = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \quad P_j$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{P_j = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \quad P_j} \Rightarrow \text{each I-good is sold for the same price}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PROFIT} : \quad \Pi_j &= (P_j - 1)x_j = \left(\frac{1}{1-\beta} - 1\right)(1-\beta)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} L_y = \\ &= \frac{1-(1-\beta)}{1-\beta} (1-\beta)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} L_y = \\ &= \beta (1-\beta)^{\frac{2}{\beta}-1} L_y \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\Pi_j = \beta (1-\beta)^{\frac{2-\beta}{\beta}} L_y \quad P_j}$$

c) R&D sector

$$\hat{l} = \frac{l}{L_R^{1-\beta}} \quad , \quad \frac{\hat{l}}{L} = n$$

- (i) $\hat{A} = \frac{L_R}{\hat{l}}$ \rightarrow this is a production function of R&D
 L_R - # labor working in R&D sector
 \hat{l} - # of labor necessary to invent new good
 $\Rightarrow \frac{L_R}{\hat{l}}$ = how many new products can be invented

$$\rightarrow \text{interpretation of } \dot{\bar{c}} = \frac{\lambda}{L_R^{\lambda-1} A^\varepsilon}$$

$A^\varepsilon \rightarrow$ the more products are in the economy, the less labor is needed to invent something new, "standing on shoulders" - kind of using existing know-how

$L_R^{\lambda-1} \rightarrow$ "slipping on toes" \rightarrow after reaching certain ~~high~~ level of productivity, we need less and less labor to work on one thing, thus it might happen that ~~too~~ many people are endlessly working on the same thing

RATE OF TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE:

$$\frac{\dot{A}}{A} = \frac{\frac{L_R}{\bar{c}}}{A^\lambda} = \frac{L_R}{A \cdot \frac{\bar{c}}{L_R^{\lambda-1} A^\varepsilon}} = \frac{L_R \cdot L_R^{\lambda-1} A^\varepsilon}{A \bar{c}} = \frac{L_R^\lambda A^{\varepsilon-1}}{\bar{c}}$$

$$\text{along BGP, } \frac{\dot{A}}{A} = \text{constant} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\dot{A}}{A} \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{L_R^\lambda A^{\varepsilon-1}}{\bar{c}} \right) = \lambda \left(\frac{\dot{L}_R}{L_R} \right)^* + (\varepsilon-1) \left(\frac{\dot{A}}{A} \right)^* = 0$$

\downarrow
 $= n$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{\dot{A}}{A} \right)^* = \frac{\lambda n}{1-\varepsilon}$$

This is not a true endogenous growth model, because if there is no population growth, there will be endogenous growth.

$$(ii) V(t) = \int_0^\infty F_t(s) e^{-\int_t^s r(r) dr} ds \quad \dots \text{present discounted profit from blueprint}$$

To derive no-arbitrage condition, we differentiate with respect to time

(4)

$$V(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \pi_j(s) e^{-\int_s^t \lambda(r) dr} ds$$

$$\dot{V}(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\pi_j(s) e^{-\int_s^t \lambda(r) dr} \right) ds - 1 \cdot \pi_j(t) e^{-\int_t^{\infty} \lambda(r) dr} =$$

$$= \int_t^{\infty} \pi_j(s) \underbrace{\frac{d}{ds} \left(e^{-\int_s^t \lambda(r) dr} \right)}_{B} ds - \pi_j(t)$$

$$B = \frac{d}{ds} \left(e^{-\int_s^t \lambda(r) dr} \right) = e^{-\int_s^t \lambda(r) dr} \cdot \left[-\left(\int_s^t 0 dr - 1 \lambda(s) \right) \right] =$$

$$= e^{-\int_s^t \lambda(r) dr} \lambda(s)$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{V}(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \pi_j(s) e^{-\int_s^t \lambda(r) dr} \lambda(s) ds - \pi_j(t) =$$

$$= \lambda(t) \int_t^{\infty} \pi_j(s) e^{-\int_s^t \lambda(r) dr} ds - \pi_j(t) =$$

$$= \lambda(t) V(t) - \pi_j(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi(t) = \frac{\pi(t)}{V(t)} + \frac{\dot{V}(t)}{V(t)} \Rightarrow \pi V = \pi + \dot{V}$$

↓ current profit ↓ future capital gain

profit from
not investing into R&D,
but going to asset market

GROWTH RATE OF PROFITS:

$$\text{from 1: } \pi_j = \beta(1-\beta)^{\frac{2-\beta}{\beta}} L_y$$

$$\frac{\dot{\pi}}{\pi} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\ln \pi \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\ln \beta(1-\beta)^{\frac{2-\beta}{\beta}} + \ln L_y \right) = \frac{L_y}{L_y} = n$$

because $L = L_R + L_y$ & $\frac{\dot{L}}{L} = n$, $\frac{\dot{L}_R}{L_R} = n \Rightarrow \frac{\dot{L}_y}{L_y} = n$

growth rate of V :

$$\text{- from no-arbitrage condition : } \frac{\dot{V}(t)}{V(t)} = r(t) - \frac{\pi(t)}{V(t)}$$

on BGP, growth rate of $V(t)$ is constant $\Rightarrow r(t)$ is constant

on BGP, and $\pi(t)$ & $V(t)$ grow at the same rate

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{\dot{V}}{V}\right)^* = \left(\frac{\dot{\pi}}{\pi}\right)^* = n$$

(iii) FREE-ENTRY CONDITION INTO R&D

means that any firm can pay the R&D sector costs
to receive the present value

$$\text{free entry : } V(t) = \hat{\eta} \cdot \bar{w}_R$$

\downarrow
PV of surprise
(in units of F-good)

quantity of labor
needed to invest
new product;
thus we need to
multiply it by \bar{w}_R
to have it in units
of F-good

(iv)

$\lambda_R + \lambda_Y = 1$... time allocation of one household

$L_R = \lambda_R L$... aggregate labor in R&D and F-good sector

$L_Y = \lambda_Y L$

household's problem:

$$\max_{c_i} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(p-n)t} u(c_i) dt$$

s.t.

$$c_i + \dot{a}_i = \bar{w}_R \lambda_R + \bar{w}_Y \lambda_Y + r a_i - m a_i$$

In closed economy, the total assets must be equal to total value of firms:

$$aL = VA \Rightarrow a = \frac{VA}{L}$$



$$\dot{a}L + \dot{L}a = \dot{V}A + \dot{A}V$$

$$\dot{a} = \frac{\dot{V}A}{L} + \frac{\dot{A}V}{L} - \frac{\dot{L}}{L}a$$

$$\dot{a} = \frac{\dot{V}}{V} \cdot \frac{VA}{L} + \dot{A} \frac{V}{L} - n \cdot \frac{VA}{L}$$



Plug this into BC of HH

further we use that $w_R = w_Y$, otherwise people would work only in the sector with higher wage

BC:

$$c_L + \left[\frac{\dot{V}}{V} \cdot \frac{VA}{L} + \dot{A} \frac{V}{L} - n \cdot \frac{VA}{L} \right] = w(l_R + l_Y) + (\lambda - n) \frac{VA}{L}$$

$$c_L + \dot{A} \frac{V}{L} = w + \frac{VA}{L} \left(\lambda - n + n - \frac{\dot{V}}{V} \right)$$

↑
use no-arbitrage cond.

$$c_L + \dot{A} \frac{V}{L} = w + \frac{VA}{L} \cdot \frac{\pi}{V}$$

$$\underbrace{c_L}_{\downarrow} + \underbrace{\dot{A} \frac{V}{L}}_{\downarrow} = w + \frac{AV}{L}$$

investment
into new
firms

current profit of all firms
divided among all people

(investment into 1 firm is V
number of new firms is \dot{A})

(v) MARKET FAILURES

- I-good sector is monopolized, monopol works inefficiently, it produces less goods for higher price
- in R&D sector, too many workers work on the same thing which increases the cost of invention
→ NEGATIVE EXTERNALITY
- in R&D sector, the more I-goods exist, the lower are costs on inventing new ideas
→ POSITIVE EXTERNALITY
- the decentralized economy considers $L_R \leq A$ in ~~cost function to be constant~~, while social planner takes into account future spillovers

(vi) SOCIAL PLANNER

$$\max \int_0^\infty u(c_t) x^{-(\rho-\alpha)t} dt$$

n.t.

$$Y = C + AX$$

↑ ↑ ↑
 production consumption F-good needed
 of F-good to produce I-good

$$Y = L_y^{\beta} A^{\gamma} X^{1-\beta}$$

$$\dot{A} = \frac{L_R}{\lambda} = \frac{L_R}{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \epsilon} A^{\epsilon}} = \frac{\lambda^{\epsilon} A^{\epsilon}}{\lambda - \epsilon}$$

$$L_R + L_y = L$$

Controls: C, L_R, L_y, X

States: A

NOTE: We can verify the SP's budget constraint by eliminating w from BC of household.

from zero profit condition in F-good sector:

$$Y_i - w L_{Y,i} - \sum_j X_{ij} P_j = 0 \quad / \sum_i$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_i Y_i}_{Y} - w \underbrace{\sum_i L_{Y,i}}_{L_Y} - \sum_j \sum_i X_{ij} P_j = 0$$

$$w L_Y = Y - \sum_j P_j \underbrace{\sum_i X_{ij}}_{X_j} = Y - \sum_j P_j X_j \quad (1)$$

from I-good sector

$$\Pi_j = P_j X_j - X_j \quad / \sum_j$$

$$\sum_j \Pi_j = \sum_j P_j X_j - \sum_j X_j \quad \text{since } X_j = X + \theta_j \\ \Pi_j = \Pi + \theta_j$$

$$A\Pi = \sum_j P_j X_j - AX$$

↪ plug into (1)

$$w L_Y = Y - (A\Pi + AX)$$

↪ plug into BC of HH

$$C + \dot{A} \frac{V}{L} = w + \frac{A\Pi}{L} \quad / \cdot L$$

$$C + \dot{A}V = wL + A\Pi \quad (wL = wL_Y + wL_R)$$

$$C + \dot{A}V = (Y - A\Pi - AX) + wL_R + A\Pi$$

$$C + \dot{A}V = Y - AX + wL_R$$

$$C + AX + \underbrace{(\dot{A}V - wL_R)}_{=0} = Y \Rightarrow C + AX = Y$$

(from zero profit in R&D)

$$\text{because } \dot{A}V - wL_R = \frac{LR}{\pi} \cdot V - wL_R = LR \left(\frac{V}{\pi} - w \right) = 0$$